MEETING MINUTES - Beneficial Ownership Draft Feasibility Study Discussion

07 November 2019

Farol Office

Participant Members

Angelina Branco Eni Country Representative

Antonio dos Santos Woodside Country Representative

Filipe Nery Petroleum Fund Analyst

HonesiaAmaral ANPM – Manager at Commercial Directorate

Estefanus Coli CSO – MDI

Sabino Gusmao CSO – Core Group Transparency

Helio da Silva CSO – Luta Hamutuk

Francisco Alegria TIMOR-GAP EP (Observer)

Rui Matos Independent Administrator (IA) of Ernst & Young (EY), Portugal

TL-EITI Secretariat:

Elda Guterres da Silva National Coordinator / Technical Specialist

Octavio Jordão de Araújo Adviser

TrifonioSarmento Outreach Officer

Meeting opened at 15.30 PM.

1. Approval of the agenda

The meeting agenda specifically discussed on the draft feasibility study report of Beneficial Ownership (BO) has been approved by MSG members.

2. Approval of documents

None

3. Discussion of feasibility studies for BO

The meeting was held to discuss the findings and recommendation proposed by Independent Administrator (IA) on Beneficial Ownership (BO) implementation in Timor-Leste. The content of the draft feasibility report identifies findings proposed by the IA such as: the Beneficial Ownership Definition, details of disclosure of the identities of ultimate beneficial owner(s) and Politically Exposed Person(s) (PEPs) and approach on data assurance for BO adoptation by MSWG.

These proposals are studied by the IA cross refrencing with the relevant articles on BO of the country's Commercial Law (no. 10/2017), Anti Money Laundry Law (17/2011) and SERVE Decree Law identifying companies' registry obligations (but no clear definition of BO registry).

The MSWG began with the discussion on BO definition. The majority of stakeholders agreed on the definition criteria proposed for the BO as a counterpart of a cooperate legal entity. Meanwhile for the criteria defining for non cooperate legal persons, industry and government pillars consider unrealistic for the current situation with extractive industry registration in the country; whereas CSO would prefer to consult with the IA for further clarification before making decision.

On the task of proposing the details of disclosure of the ultimate owners and PEPs, the MSWG agreed with the proposal to be aligned with the Art 294 of Law 10/2017 but suggested to not include the updated information on residencial address or contact details of the beneficial owner(s) for security reason.

Interms of the task proposing BO's data assurance approach to be adopted by MSWG, as well as the changes made in the new Requirement 2.5 of the 2019 Standard (2.5 a,b,c,e and g), stakeholders decided to discuss with the International Secretariat delegates in their upcoming visit on November 13th..

4. Upcoming meeting

The next meetings are scheduled from 13 to 15 November for MSWG members discussion with International Secretariat during a one week visit in the country.

The Chair declared the meeting closed at 10:20a.m.

Minutes approved and signed by:		
(Name)	(Signature)	
1.		
ANGELINA B. BRANCO	My Ku	
2.		
principal properties of the same of the same	(i) g	
Filipe Nery Bernado	Jeans	
3.		
488a 4 - 10 - 1		
4.		
3		
5.		
The state of the s		
5.		